GROUNDNUT OIL IMPROVES TENDERNESS, JUICINESS AND CONSISTENCY OF BEEF SAUSAGES
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ABSTRACT: This study was conducted to determine the effects of crude groundnut oil (GO) on the storability and sensory characteristics of comminuted beef sausages. Boneless beef was thoroughly trimmed of all visible fatty and connective tissues, minced and freshly prepared GO was added during comminution to formulate sausages at three levels (5%, 10% and 15%) of oil inclusion, and compared with products formulated with only lean beef. Sensory and laboratory analyses were conducted weekly for 3 weeks. The results indicated that the GO products were more tender, juicier and smoother than sausages formulated with only lean beef. The GO products had intense groundnut flavour, no effect on flavour liking but enhanced product acceptability. In addition, the GO increased the unsaturated fatty acid content of the products, had no significant effect on lipid per-oxidation and product stability in storage. It is recommended that groundnut oil is used in beef sausages up to 15% inclusion, on weight basis for improved sensory and acceptability of the products.

Keywords: Beef sausages, vegetable fats, beef fat, sensory

INTRODUCTION

Products from meat processing firms are widely accepted by meat consumers due to the increasing demand for convenient meals. Beef products however, have not caught on well with most consumers although such products have limited religious and tribal barriers compared with pork (Teye, 2010). This is because beef cattle in Ghana are sold at their later stages of productivity, making their meat tough and dry with little or no marbling fats. Secondly, the fat of beef is reported to be high in saturated fatty acids, which are believed to be directly related to cardiovascular risk factors (Hongbao, 2006; Sharma et al., 2011). Moreover, when the fat of beef cools, it solidifies and exhibits a “greasy” sensation in the mouth of consumers when such products are consumed (Warriss, 2010). Technologically, the hard fat has high tendency of separating from the muscles to form fat-pockets in the casings of sausages (Teye, 2010); a condition which is aesthetically not appealing.

In view of these, beef products in Ghana are made using only lean beef without fat (Teye, 2010). Meanwhile, fat is reported to play a major role in the texture, juiciness and flavour of comminuted meat products (Crehan et al., 2000). Drewnowski (1992) also reported that the sensory properties of fat make a diet flavourful and rich. Elsewhere, the fats of pork and chicken are used to substitute beef fat in comminuted beef products, as these have lower levels of saturated fatty acids than beef fat (Ambrosiadis et al., 1996). However, religious barrier against the consumption of pork hinders the use of pork fat in beef products especially in northern Ghana, as most meat consumers here are Moslems. Chicken fat is not available in large quantities for use as a substitute for beef fat.

There is therefore the need to find alternative fats which are less saturated and with better sensory characteristics than beef fat, for use in beef sausages to improve the sensory characteristics. One of such with potential for use is groundnut oil (a vegetable fat).

Vegetable fats are relatively higher in unsaturated fatty acids (65%), compared with beef fats (46%) and therefore have fewer health concerns (Paneras and Bloukas, 1994). Groundnut oil is locally extracted by market women in northern Ghana, and is used in most homes on daily basis for the preparation of various meals. The potentials of vegetable oils as fat substitutes were realized when used to substitute pork fat in low-fat frankfurters (Paneras and Bloukas, 1994).
This work was therefore aimed at investigating the potentials of groundnut oil as fat substitute on the storability, sensory characteristics and overall acceptability of beef sausages.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was conducted at the Meat Processing Unit and Laboratories of the University for Development Studies, Tamale. The Iodine Value (IV) of the fatty acids in the products was determined at the Food Laboratories of the Ghana Standards Board, Accra, Ghana.

Acquisition of vegetable oil
Freshly prepared crude-groundnut oil was obtained from the local market for use. The oil was used to formulate products at three levels T2 (5%), T3 (10%) and T4 (15%) of minced beef on weight basis, and compared with products formulated with only lean beef (T1) as control.

Sausage preparation
The single factor research design was employed in this study. Fresh boneless beef from the hindquarters of mature bulls was obtained from the Meat Processing Unit of the University for Development Studies, thoroughly trimmed of all visible connective tissues and fats, cut into smaller sizes and minced using a 5mm-sieve table top mincer (Talleres Rommon, Spain). The minced meat was divided into groups of 4kg and the oil was randomly assigned to the meats to formulate the products. Each treatment was replicated twice. The minced meat in the T2, T3 and T4 were mixed with the apportioned oils in a 10-litre plastic bowl and allowed to stand for 10 minutes before comminution, to enable the meat to absorb the oil. The following ingredients were also added in equal amounts (g/kg) to the various formulations of sausage meat: 15.0g curing salt, 0.5g red chillies, 1.0g black pepper, 1.0g white pepper and 2.0g “adobo” (pre-formulated spices). Crushed ice (1.0kg) was added during comminution to regulate the temperature, as well as attain desired consistency of meat batter. The mixture was comminuted in a 3-knife bowl chopper (Talleres Rommon, Spain) until a meat-batter temperature of 17°C was attained. The meat batter was immediately stuffed into natural casings, using a hydraulic stuffer (Talleres Rommon, Spain) and manually linked into similar length of about 10cm. The sausages were weighed and then hung on smoking racks and smoked for an hour after which they were scalded to a core temperature of 70°C. The sausages were cooled in cold water and hung on the racks again for excess water to drain. The sausages were packed in transparent polyethylene bags and vacuum-packed, labelled and stored in a refrigerator at 2°C for sensory and laboratory analyses at later dates.

Sensory evaluation
A total of twenty (20) panellists, comprising staff and students were randomly selected and trained according to the British Standard Institution (BSI, 1993) guidelines to evaluate the products. The sausages were thawed and warmed in an oven (Turbofan, Blue seal, UK), sliced into uniform sizes (about 2cm in length) and wrapped with coded aluminium foils and presented to the panellists. Each panellist was provided with water and pieces of bread to serve as neutralizers between the products. An eight-point category scale, as described by Keeton (1983), was used to rate the sensory characteristics of the products.

Internal colour: 1=extremely pale red; 2=very pale red; 3=moderately pale red; 4=slightly pale red; 5=slightly dark red; 6=moderately dark red; 7=very dark red; 8=extremely dark red

Tenderness: 1=extremely tough; 2=very tough; 3=moderately tough; 4=slightly tough; 5=slightly tender; 6=moderately tender; 7=very tender; 8=extremely tender

Juiciness: 1=extremely juicy; 2=very juicy; 3=moderately juicy; 4=slightly juicy; 5=slightly dry; 6=moderately dry; 7=very dry; 8=extremely dry

Consistency: 1=extremely smooth; 2=Very smooth; 3=moderately smooth; 4=slightly smooth; 5=slightly coarse; 6=moderately coarse; 7=Very coarse; 8=extremely coarse

Groundnut flavour intensity: 1=extremely strong; 2=very strong; 3=moderately strong; 4=slightly strong; 5=slightly weak; 6=moderately weak; 7=very weak; 8=extremely weak

Flavour liking/acceptability: 1=Like extremely; 2=Like very much; 3=Like moderately; 4=Like slightly; 5=Dislike slightly; 6=Dislike moderately; 7=Dislike very much; 8=Dislike extremely;

Chemical analysis and pH of products
The sausages were analyzed for lipid per-oxidation (peroxide value), moisture, crude protein and fat contents according to the methods of the AOAC (1999). In addition, Iodine Value of the products was determined according to the methods of the ISO (1996). Analyses were conducted in triplicates; all reagents were of analytical grade. For determination of the pH, 10g samples were homogenized with 50 ml distilled water and pH value was measured with a digital pH-meter (CRISON, Basic 20).

The data obtained were analyzed using the General Linear Model (GLM) of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of the Minitab Statistical Package, version 15 (MINITAB, 2007). Where significant differences were found, the means were separated using Tukey Pair Wise comparison, at 5% level of significance.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sensory evaluation of products

The products were offered to the panellists for sensory evaluation and the results are presented in Table 1. There were no significant differences (P>0.05) in the color and flavor liking of the products (Table 1). Meat purchasing decisions are influenced more by product appearance than any other quality factor (Lawrie and Ledward, 2006); color and flavor represent perceived freshness and are of vital importance to the meat industry and meat science research (Mancini and Hunt, 2005). The similar color of the products is an indication that the use of GO will not result in beef sausages which are different from the standard products.

The GO resulted in sausages which were tenderer, juicier and with smoother consistency (P<0.001) than those formulated with only lean beef (Table 1). Tenderness is regarded as the most important sensory attribute affecting meat acceptability (Warkup et al., 1995). Tenderness has also been identified as the most critical eating quality characteristics, which determines whether consumers are repeat buyers (Lawrie and Ledward, 2006). Several research works reported an increased juiciness and tenderness with an increase in fat content in meat products (Berry and Wergin, 1993; Troy et al., 1999). Fat in meat products plays a major role in improving water holding capacity and binding properties, forming rheological and structural properties that trap moisture in the products to improve juiciness (Hughes et al., 1997; Pietrasik and Duda, 2000).

Table 1 - Sensory characteristics of the sausages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>SED</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenderness</td>
<td>4.25&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.60&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.85&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juiciness</td>
<td>4.45&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.70&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.40&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency</td>
<td>5.90&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.55&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.95&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.85&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G’nut flavor intensity</td>
<td>6.25&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.20&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.80&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.95&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavor liking</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Acceptability</td>
<td>3.85&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.05&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.25&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.05&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SED= Standard error of difference, <sup>**</sup>Means in the same row with different superscripts are significant ns= not Significant, <sup>***</sup>Significant (P<0.001), G’nut=Groundnut

The moisture, crude protein and fat (ether extract) contents of the products are presented in Table 2. There was a reduction in the moisture (P<0.001) and crude protein (P<0.05) contents of the products with an increase in oil inclusion. Serdaroglu and Rmencioglu (2004), observed a significant reduction in moisture content with an increase in fat level in meatballs. Dzudie et al., (2002), also reported a reduction in moisture and protein contents with increasing levels of fat in ground beef formulations.

Table 2 - Proximate composition of the sausages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>S.e.d.</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>76.91&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>75.69&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>71.71&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>67.98&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>20.31&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.06&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.54&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (ether extract)</td>
<td>6.44&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.37&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.61&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.07&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Means in the same row with different subscripts are significantly different. S.e.d=standard error of difference, sig=significance, *=significant (P<0.05), ***=significant (P<0.001)

The fat levels were significantly increased with increase in oil inclusions (Table 2). The rate of increase however, did not reflect the quantities added during the product formulation. The T3 and T4 products were expected to have fat levels of 16.5 and 20.0% respectively, but the values obtained were 13.6 and 11.5%, respectively. This might have accounted for the higher level of juiciness in the experimental products, the acceptability of the products with GO products was consequently enhanced (P<0.001).
levels exceeding 10 and 15 percent respectively, but these were quite lower than what was expected (Table 2). This might be due to losses during cooking of the products.

Cooking of meat and meat products results in losses of fats from them (Dzudie et al., 2002). Serdaroglu and Rmencioglu (2004) reported a decrease in fat retention with an increase in fat levels in meatballs, and higher fat retentions observed in products with the least fat contents. This is because as fat content increases, the mean free distance between fat droplets decreases, this phenomenon causes fat coalescing and then leaks out from the product (Tornberg et al., 1989).

**pH of products**

The pH of the products was taken and presented in Fig. 1. The pH of the products was not significantly different (P>0.05) at the various levels of GO inclusions (Fig. 1).

Several research works reported the significance of pH on microbial stability of meat products. Higher pH makes meat products susceptible to microbial attack and multiplication (Warriss, 2010). Lower pH of meat is a result of anaerobic glycolysis, where glycogen reserves in the muscles are channelled in to the production of lactic acid in cells of muscles (Incze, 1992; Lawrie and Ledward, 2006). This makes the muscles acidic and hence creates an unfavourable condition for microbial activities, thus improves their storability (Lawrie and Ledward, 2006). Since the pH of the products did not vary considerably, it is not expected that the use of groundnut oil will have an adverse effect on the microbial stability of the products.

**Iodine value of fatty acids in the products**

The iodine value (IV), which is the degree of unsaturation of fatty acids in the fats of the prepared sausages, was analyzed and the results are presented in Fig. 2. The IV of the fatty acids in the GO products was higher (P<0.05) than the Control products (Fig. 2). According to Wood (1984), vegetable fats are higher in unsaturated fatty acids than animal fats. The GO therefore increased the level of unsaturated fatty acids in the product.

Excessive intake of dietary saturated fatty acids has been associated with the development of hypertension, cardio-vascular diseases and obesity (Bruhn et al., 1992; Hongbao, 2006). A diet containing fats of the unsaturated fatty acids on the other hand, has been shown to be beneficial in the prevention of atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease.
disease (Wolfram, 2003; Russo, 2009). Long-term diets containing monounsaturated fatty acids have been shown to reduce platelet aggregation and decrease plasma LDL-cholesterol levels (Smith et al., 2003). Unsaturated fatty acids are also very essential in the body for balancing of hormones, keeping of skin and arteries supple, lubrication of joints as well as forming a component of body cells (Tabas, 2002).

**Lipid per-oxidation (Per-oxide value) in the products**

The peroxide values (POVs) of the products were determined on the 7th, 14th and 21st days of storage, and the results are presented in Fig. 3. The POVs of the products ranged between a minimum of 6.17 and 10.02 mill equivalent/kg products. The POVs increased with an increase in GO inclusion in the products. The values also increased with an increase in the duration of storage.

Lipid per-oxidation in food is of importance, in that it progresses at faster rates in fats rich in unsaturated fatty acids, than those high in saturated fatty acids (Warriss, 2010). The unsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids present in these, react with oxygen to form fatty acid hydro-peroxides. Hydro-peroxides are unstable, and breakdown into various compounds which can produce off-flavors; leading to a stale, rancid flavor in foods (Kerler and Grosch, 1996).

Among the products however, the peroxide values were significantly lower than 25 mill equivalent/kg sausage, which is considered as the limit of acceptability in fatty foods (Ervanuz, 1993; Narasimhan et al., 1986). The acceptability of the products was also not adversely affected, indicating that rancidity in the products was not pronounced.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The use of GO in beef sausages resulted in products which were more tender, juicier, smoother and with higher acceptability than those formulated with lean beef. The GO products had higher levels of unsaturated fatty acids, and no adverse effect on the storability of the products. It is recommended that groundnut oil is used in beef sausages up to 15% inclusion for improved product acceptability.
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