

EFFECT OF REPLACEMENT OF MAIZE WITH CASSAVA ROOT MEAL FORTIFIED WITH PALM OIL ON PERFORMANCE OF STARTER BROILERS

M.C. Uchegbu^{1*}, I.P. Ogbuewu¹, S.O. Obimara¹ and B.E. Obua²

¹Department of Animal Science and Technology, School of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B.1526, Owerri, Imo State - Nigeria.

²Department of Animal Production and Management, College of Animal Science and Animal Production Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria.

*Email: princiano2001@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT: The effects of replacement of maize with cassava root meal fortified with palm oil on performance of starter broilers were determined in a 28-day feeding trial. Five experimental broiler starter diets were formulated such that diet T₁ (control) contained 60% maize. Diets T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₅ were formulated such that they contained cassava root meal (%), fortified with palm oil (%) in the proportions of 8:2, 16:4, 24:6 and 32:8 respectively, in replacement of maize. The diets were fed to broilers in a completely randomized design experiment replicated thrice. Each replicate contained 10 birds. Birds fed T₂, T₃ and T₄ diets had statistically ($P>0.05$) similar daily feed intake and daily weight gain values with the control group except T₅ birds that had significantly ($P<0.05$) lower daily feed intake and daily weight gain. Feed conversion ratios of the birds on cassava root meal and palm oil diets compared favorably with the control except for the T₅ group which recorded a significantly ($P<0.05$) higher feed conversion ratio. The least cost (US\$ 0.85) per kg meat produced was recorded with the birds on T₁ diet and followed by the T₃ (16:4%) birds. It is therefore concluded that maize as a dietary energy source in poultry diet is nutritionally superior to cassava root meal fortified with palm oil.

Key words: Chicks, cassava root meal, palm oil, performance

INTRODUCTION

The high cost of maize arising from inadequate maize production and competing use of the available feedstuff for human consumption and animal feed (Okorie, 1982; Ohwofa, 1993; Oyedeji, 2002). This has contributed to the unprecedented increase in feed costs to the point of it constituting over 90% of poultry farm recurrent expenditure (Igboeli, 2001).

One of the major challenges to researchers in the tropics is the provision of alternative feeds for monogastric animals. Maize a conventional feedstuff has remained the major energy source in compounded diets for poultry and other non-ruminants. The various uses to which maize is being committed, such staple food for man, brewing and confectionary, has placed additional cost constraints on its continued use in poultry diets. The solution is to explore the use of alternative feed ingredients, hitherto under exploited by poultry farmers (Udedibie et al., 2004; Durunna et al., 2005). Among the alternative feedstuff which could be used as energy sources for poultry diet even though it is lower in protein and other essential nutrients (Longe and Oluyemi, 1977; Odukwe, 1994).

However, one serious set - back in the use of cassava as feedstuff for monogastric is its content of cyanogenic glucosides, linamarin and lotaustralin, which on hydrolysis inside the animal body produces hydrogen cyanide which is highly toxic (Nartley, 1973; Hill, 1977). Okeke (1980) reported that for cassava root meal to be used in poultry diets, it has to be processed so as to reduce its total cyanide content from 360 mg kg⁻¹ to about 15 - 20 mg kg⁻¹. Various methods have been devised for detoxifying cassava root meal. These include cooking (Okeke et al., 1985), soaking in water (Rajaguru, 1975), sun drying (Odukwe, 1994), and use of additive (Obioha et al., 1984; Odukwe, 1994). Tewe et al. (1980) and Formunyam et al. (1980) have reported that sun-drying and the addition of palm oil have been shown to be effective in reducing the rate of hydrolysis of cyanogenic glycosides in cassava to produce hydrogen cyanide.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

However, there is a paucity of information on the effect of replacement of maize with cassava root meal fortified with palm oil on performance of broiler chicks. The objective of the current investigation was to study the effects of replacement of maize with cassava root meal fortified with palm oil on the performance of starter broilers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental location

This research was conducted at the Poultry Unit of the Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Animal Science and Technology, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State. Owerri is situated in south-eastern agro-ecological zone of Nigeria, and lies between latitude 4°4' and 6°3' N and longitude 6°15' and 8°15' E. The agro-climatic data of Owerri has been reported by MLS (1984).

Procurement and processing of cassava root meal

Fresh cassava tubers were purchased at Umunze in Anambra state, Nigeria. The cassava tubers were sliced into 1cm thick flakes for effective drying. The sliced cassava tubers were sun dried for about nine hours for nine days. The dry cassava flakes were milled using a hammer mill (screen size) to produce cassava root meal before they were incorporated into the rations.

Composition of diets

Five experimental broiler starter diets provided as a mash were formulated such that diet T₁ (control) contained 60% maize. Diets T₂, T₃, T₄ and T₅ were formulated such that they contained cassava root meal, fortified with palm oil (%) in the proportions of 8: 2, 16: 4, 24: 6 and 32: 8 respectively, in replacement of maize. The chemical composition of the cassava root meal and maize are shown in Table 1. In addition, the calculated chemical compositions of the formulated diets are shown in Table 2.

Component	Cassava root meal	Maize
Moisture content (%)	14.10	12.00
Crude protein (%)	3.22	8.50
Crude fibre (%)	5.00	2.80
Ether extract (%)	0.50	4.24
Ash (%)	2.65	1.35
Nitrogen free extract (%)	74.53	71.10
HCN (mg/100g)	3.46	-
ME* (kcal/Kg)	2235.40	3001.20

*Determined according to Morgan et al. (1975): HCN - Hydrogen cyanide; ME - Metabolisable energy

Component	Dietary treatment				
	Control (T ₁)	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅
Maize (%)	60.00	50.00	40.00	30.00	20.00
Cassava root meal (%)	-	8.00	16.00	24.00	32.00
Palm oil (%)	-	2.00	4.00	6.00	8.00
<i>Calculated chemical composition</i>					
Crude protein (%)	21.50	21.02	21.11	21.10	21.01
Crude fibre (%)	3.80	4.13	4.47	4.81	5.14
Ether extract (%)	3.86	5.46	7.07	8.65	10.23
Ash (%)	3.32	3.43	3.54	3.67	3.77
ME (Kcal/kg)	2932.31	2931.79	2931.75	2931.52	2931.10

*Each diet contained 24% Soybean meal, 2% Palm kernel cake, 3% Wheat offal, 4% fish meal, 3% Blood meal, 2% bone meal, 1% oyster shell, 0.25% lysine, 0.25% Methionine, 0.25% vitamin/mineral premix and 0.25% common salt. ME - Metabolisable energy.

Experimental birds and their management

One hundred and fifty (150) day old unsexed broiler chicks of Hybro strain were procured and weighed. They were randomly allotted to five treatment groups of 30 birds each, with ten birds constituting a replicate, in a completely randomized design (CRD). The birds were raised under identical environmental and management conditions. Feed and water were provided *ad-libitum*. The broilers were weighed individually in all the groups and average weight determined at the beginning of the experiment and weekly thereafter. The experiment lasted for 28 days.

Parameters measured

Initial live weights of the birds were taken. Daily feed intake was determined by the difference between the quantity of feed offered the previous morning and the quantity of leftover the following morning. Weight gain was

determined by obtaining the difference between initial weight of each group and its final weight on the 28th day of the experiment. Data on feed intake and weight gain were used to calculate the feed conversion ratio. Feed conversion ratios were calculated by dividing the total feed intake per bird by total weight gain. Feed cost per kilogram (kg) was calculated based on the prevailing market prices of ingredients. Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance and Duncan's New Multiple Range Test used to detect differences among means (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

Economic analysis

Cost analysis was carried out at the end of the feeding trial to assess the economic viability of ingredient used. The cost kg⁻¹ feed ingredient used and that of the diets were noted. The mean feed intake was used to calculate the mean cost of feed consumed by the birds under each treatment.

RESULTS

The data on effects of replacement of maize with cassava root meal (CRM) fortified with palm on the performance characteristics of starter broilers are presented in Table 3. There were significant ($P < 0.05$) reductions in average daily feed intake, average final weight gain and average daily weight gain of starter broilers fed T₅ diet relative to the groups fed T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ diets. Feed conversion ratio of birds on T₅ diet was significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher than that of the groups fed T₁, T₂ and T₃ diets. However, feed conversion ratio for the birds fed control diet was not different from ($P > 0.05$) to birds fed T₂, T₃ and T₄ diet. Similarly, there were no significant differences in cost of feed and cost of feed/kilogram meat produced ($P < 0.05$) among the groups.

Table 3 - Effects of replacement of maize with cassava root meal fortified with palm oil on body weight gain feed intake, feed conversion ratio and feed cost analysis of starter broilers

Parameters	Replacement levels of palm oil fortified cassava root meal					SEM
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅	
Avg. initial body wt. (g)	130.35	130.33	130.34	130.31	131.32	0.41
Avg. final body wt. (g)	1279.37 ^a	1183.08 ^a	1183.08 ^a	1135.89 ^a	976.38 ^b	51.09
Avg. daily wt. gain (g/day)	41.04 ^a	37.60 ^a	37.60 ^a	35.91 ^a	30.18 ^b	1.83
Avg. daily feed intake (g/d)	87.00 ^a	84.00 ^a	83.00 ^a	83.00 ^a	74.00 ^b	2.23
Feed conversion ratio	2.12 ^b	2.23 ^b	2.19 ^b	2.31 ^{ab}	2.45 ^a	0.06
<i>Cost analysis</i>						
Cost of feed (US\$/Kg)	0.40	0.39	56.80	0.40	0.40	-
Cost of feed / Kg meat (US\$)	0.85	0.89	0.87	0.92	0.97	-

^{a,b}Means within a row with different superscripts are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different; SEM- Standard error mean

DISCUSSION

The proximate composition of maize revealed a lower metabolisable energy (3001.20 Kcal/kg) value when compared with the values of 3440 kcal/kg and 3432 Kcal/kg reported by Obioha (1992) and Aduku (1990) respectively. The cassava root meal used in the present study is lower in both crude protein (3.22%) and metabolisable energy (2235 Kcal/Kg), but higher in fibre (5.0%) relative to maize which had 8.50%, 3001.20 Kcal/Kg and 2.80% for crude protein, metabolisable energy and crude fibre respectively. The moisture content values for maize (12.00%) and cassava root meal (14.10%) used in the present study were slightly higher than 12.25% for cassava root meal and 10.50% for maize earlier reported by Uchegbu (2005).

The superiority of T₁ diet over the other is evidence that maize, as an energy source, is nutritionally to palm oil fortified cassava root meal. The significant reduction in weight gain of birds fed T₅ diet support the results of (Jensen et al., 1970; Longe and Oluyemi, 1977) that reported linear decrease in weight gain of birds resulting from the increase in the quantity of cassava root meal included in the ration. The residual cyanogenic glucosides present in cassava root meal could not be associated with poor performance of birds fed T₂ - T₅ diets as sun-drying is known to reduce the level of these compounds to the point where they have no negative effect on the animal (Tewe, 1991; IITA, 1994; Akinfala et al., 2002). Synthetic DL - methionine was also included in the diet and this amino acid can help to detoxify the hydrogen cyanide (HCN), through its transformation to the more innocuous thiocyanate (Tewe and Egbunike, 1992; Tewe, 1994).

This depressed performance in birds fed T₅ diets could be connected with the poor protein quality of T₅ diet relative to the control diet (T₁). This is also in agreement with the proximate biochemical compositions of maize (8.5 % CP, 4.24 % EE, 2.8 % CF, 1.35% ash and 3001.20 kcal/kg ME) and cassava root meal (3.22 % CP, 0.5% EE, 5.0 % CF, 2.65 % ash and 2235.40 kcal/kg). Adding palm oil (fat) to poultry feed is normal and acceptable because this addition has been shown usually to increase metabolisable energy of the whole diet beyond that expected from the fat itself (Jensen et al., 1970; Olomu, 1995). The non-significant higher final live weights of birds fed T₂ and T₃ diets as observed in the present study further support the earlier report by Nwoche et al. (2001) that 4% dietary

inclusion of palm oil as the best inclusion level that will bring about an optimum growth in broilers. The significant value of feed intake of birds fed T₅ diet is an indication of reduction of quantity of feed consumed by the birds. It is therefore likely that on balance the protein provided by the cassava root meal fortified with palm oil was of rather inferior quality compared with that from the maize.

The feed conversion ratio of the control birds was better than that of the groups fed T₅ diet. The cost of starter broiler diet per kilogram ranged from US\$ 0.39 to US\$ 0.40, the cost being reduced with increasing levels of cassava root meal and palm oil in the diet (Table 3). The significant increase in the feed conversion ratio of starter broilers fed T₅ diet indicated relatively poorer feed utilization. This result shows that 1kg meat is produced cheaper with control diet as it recorded the lowest feed cost (US\$ 0.85) for 1 kg broiler meat produced followed by birds fed T₃ diet (US\$ 0.87). The highest cost for the group fed T₅ diet (US\$ 0.97) could be attributed to the high cost of palm oil in the market as at the time this investigation was carried out.

CONCLUSION

Results from the study suggest that maize as a dietary energy source in poultry diet is nutritionally superior to cassava root meal fortified with palm oil. Nevertheless replacing maize with cassava root meal/palm oil (%) in proportions of 8:2, 16:4 and 24:6 also gave a comparable result to birds fed T₁ diet. The study presented herein has strongly shown that cassava root meal fortified with palm oil has a very bright future as a feed ingredient in broilers. It is therefore suggested that the use of palm oil fortified cassava root meal as a partial replacement for maize in poultry diets be further explored to ascertain the best inclusion level needed for optimal performance.

REFERENCES

- Aduku A (1990). Tropical Feedstuff, Analysis Table. Department of Animal science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ahmadu Bello University, Samaru, Zaria, Nigeria.
- Akinfala EO, Aderibigbe AO and Matanmi O (2002). Evaluation of the nutritive value of whole cassava plant as replacement for maize in the starter diets for broiler chicken. *Livestock research for rural development*. 14 - 16.
- Durunna CS, Udedibie ABI and Uchegbu MC (2005). Effect of dietary inclusion of *Anthonata macrophylla* meal on performance of broiler starter chicks. *Nigeria Journal of Animal*, 32: 268-273.
- Formunyam RT, Adegbola AA and Oke OL (1980). The role of palm oil in cassava based rations. In *tropical root crop research strategies for 1980s* (ed. Terry ER., Oduro KA and Caveness F) Arch Center IDRC, 163: 152-153.
- Hill DC (1977). Physiological and biochemical responses of rats given potassium cyanide or linamarin. *Pro workshop on cassava as animal feed by Nestle B and Graham M (Eds)*, 21- 25.
- Igboeli G (2001). *Animal Production and Agriculture in the millennium: Book of Proceedings 25th Annual Nigerian Society of Animal Production Conference*, Pp. 1- 3.
- IITA (1994). *International Institute of Tropical Agriculture International workshop on Cassava Safety ACTA Horticultuae ISHC No. 373, 1-4 March, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria.*
- Jensen LS, Shumair GW and Latshaw JD (1970). Extra calorific effect of dietary fat for developing turkey as influenced by calorie: protein ratio. *Poultry Sciences*, 49: 101-109.
- Longe OG and Oluyemi JA (1977). Comparative use of cassava, maize and guinea corn as the major dietary source of carbohydrates for chicks. *Journal of Association of Advanced Agricultural Sciences in Africa*, 4:47-52.
- MLS (1984). *Atlas of Imo State. Ministry of Lands and Surveys, Owerri, Nigeria.*
- Morgan DJ, Cole DJA and Lauris D (1975). Energy value in pig Nutrition, the relationship between digestible energy, metabolisable energy and total digestible nutrient value of a wide range of feedstuff. *Journal of Agricultural Science (Cambridge)*, 84: 7-17.
- Nartley F (1973). Biosynthesis of cyanogenic glucosidase in cassava. *Proc. of workshop on cassava toxicity, IDRC, Ottawa*, Pp. 73-87.
- Nwoche EC, Ndubuisi EC and Iheukwumere FC (2001). Effect of dietary palm oil on the growth performance of broiler chicks. *Proceedings of the 6th Annual Conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria, University of Maiduguri.*
- Obioha FC (1992). *A guide to poultry production in the tropics. Acne publishers, Enugu, Nigeria.*
- Obioha FC, Ngoka DA, Alozie S and Okpokiri A (1984). The value of methionine and palm oil supplementation in cassava based layers diets. *Tropical Animal Productio*, 5: 182-190.
- Odukwe CA (1994). *The feeding value of composite cassava root meal for broiler chicks. PhD Thesis, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.*
- Ohwofa SA (1993). High input costs and livestock development in Nigeria. An invited paper presented at the 19th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Society for Animal Production, Owerri, 21st-25th March.
- Okeke JE (1980). Studies in the use of cassava products in poultry feeds. *NRCRI. Ann. Report, Umudike, Umuahia, Nigeria*, Pp. 43-47.

- Okeke GC, Obioha FC and Udogu AE (1985). Comparison of detoxification methods of cassava-borne cyanide. *Nutr. Reports International*, 32: 139-147.
- Okorie JU (1982). *A guide to Livestock Production in Nigeria*. Macmillan Education Ltd., London.
- Olomu JM (1995). *Monogastric animal nutrition: Principles and Practice*. A Jachem Publication, 108-118.
- Oyedeji GO (2002). Challenges of scientific feed milling in Nigeria: The role of professionals. *Proc. 27th Ann. Conf. Nig. Soc. For Anim. Prod.*, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.
- Rajaguru ASB (1975). Problem of hydrocyanic acid in cassava. University of Sri-Lanka. Faculty of Agricultural. Report, 75-79.
- Steel RD and Torrie JHC (1980). *Principles and Procedures of Statistics*. McGraw – Hill, New York.
- Tewe OO (1991). Detoxification of Cassava Products and Effects of Residual Toxins on Consuming Animals. *Feeding Proceedings, the FAO Expert Consultation held in CIAT, Cal Columbia, 21-25 Jan., Pp. 16*.
- Tewe OO (1994). Indices of Cassava Safety for Livestock Feeding. In: *International Workshop on Cassava Safety*, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria, *ACTA Horticulturae*, 373: 241-249.
- Tewe OO and Egbunike GN (1992). Utilisation of Cassava in Non-Ruminant Livestock Feeds In: *Cassava as Livestock Feed in Africa Proceedings of IITA/ILCA/University of Ibadan Workshop on the Potential Utilisation of Cassava as Livestock Feed in Africa*, 28-38.
- Tewe OO, Gomez G and Manner JH (1980). Effects of extraneous Linamarase on the hydrocyanic acid content of some tropical cassava varieties. *Nigeria Journal of Nutrition Science*, 1: 27-32.
- Uchegbu MC (2005). Combinations of brewer's grains, jack bean and cassava root meals as major energy source for poultry. Ph.D Thesis Department of Animal Science and Technology, Federal University of Technology, Owerri. Nigeria.
- Udedibie ABI, Anyaegbu BC, Onyechekwa GC and Egbuokporo OC (2004). Effect of feeding different levels of fermented and unfermented cassava tuber meal on performance of broilers. *Nigeria Journal of Animal*, 31: 211-219.