

# THE DETERMINATION OF NUTRITIVE VALUE OF SOME RANGELAND PLANTS USING NYLON BAGS TECHNIQUE

V. ASHRAFI AND P. EIVAZI\*

Department of Agriculture, Parsabad Moghan Branch, Islamic Azad University Parsabad, Iran

\*E-mail: peyman697@yahoo.com

**ABSTRACT:** In order to determine of nutritive value of pasture forages (*Agropyron intermedium Boiss*, *Coronilla Varia*, *Ziziphora Tenuior* and *Scorzonera grossheimii lipsch*) using in situ, this study was carried out. In this study two fistulated wethers ( $35 \pm 1.8$  kg) were used in in situ method. Ruminal DM and CP disappearances were measured 0,4,8,16,24,36,48,72 and 96 h. Dry matter degradabilities of *Coronilla Varia* and *Agropyron intermedium Boiss* at 48 h, were higher and lower, that showed significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ). Crude protein degradabilities of *Coronilla Varia* at 96 h was 78.18 % that were higher and showed significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ). Pasture forages can be used largely as a ruminant feeds.

**Key words:** Pasture forages, Gezel sheep, Nylon bag

## INTRODUCTION

Balancing rations for ruminants requires knowledge of the proportion of feed protein that escapes ruminal degradation (NRC, 1985). Fermentation characteristics of feedstuffs in rumen fluid can be studied using in vivo, in situ and in vitro techniques (Cone et al., 1999). The Dacron polyester or nylon bag technique has been used widely for estimating ruminal nutrient degradation because it is a relatively simple, low - cost method compared with methods involving intestinally cannulated animal (Marshall et al., 1997). The in situ nylon-bag technique is widely used to characterize the disappearance of feeds from the rumen. Nylon-bag technique provides a useful means to estimate rates of disappearance and potential degradability of feedstuffs and feed constituents (Paya et al., 2008).

Ruminants require adequate dietary fiber intake for normal rumen function, and dairy animals, in particular, need fiber to maintain normal milk fat content (Santini et al., 1992). Primary factors in the conversion of forage to animal product are intake of dry matter (DMI) or energy (IE), digestibility, efficiency of converting digested energy to metabolizable energy, and efficiency of converting metabolizable energy to net energy in animal product (Waldo, 1986). Feeding costs are one of the major problems in the economic balance of the sheep farmers. It has been well established that ruminant animals are capable of utilizing cellulose and hemicelluloses from forages, wood and other complex fibrous carbohydrates (Singh and Kamstra, 1981). Non-traditional by-products must be searched in order to decrease the reliance on traditional resources to fill the gap and decrease feeding costs (Afaf et al., 2009).

Many factors influence the ruminal degradability of forage CP content including: stage of maturity (Belyea et al., 1999; Tolera and Sundstol, 1993; Madsen and Hvelplund, 1994), forage species (Hoffman et al., 1993), contents of different specially leaves (Tolera and Sundstol, 1993) and climate condition (Van Soest, 1982) affect hay quality. Decreases in soluble DM and rate of digestion were observed with increasing maturity of forage (Nelson and Satter, 1992). The objective of this study was to determine CP and DM disappearances of some pasture forages in the rumen using in situ technique.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Animals and feeding

Two yearling (Gizil) wethers ( $35 \pm 1.8$  kg) were used. At least 30d before initiation of the experiment, each wether was surgically fitted with a ruminal cannula. The wethers were housed in tie stalls under controlled environmental conditions with continuous lighting and constant temperature ( $24$  to  $26^\circ\text{C}$ ). All wethers were fed a diet containing of 60% hay and 40% concentrate (NRC, 1989). The feed was fed in equal portions every 8 h to maintain a relatively stable rumen environment.

### Sample Collection

Pasture forages samples harvested from Parsabad Moghan. Samples were collected from at least 10 different areas of pasture. All 10 samples were thoroughly mixed, and a composite sample (100g) was taken. All

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



samples were dried in an oven at 100 °C until a constant weight was achieved. Samples were then ground to pass through a 2-mm screen in Wiley mill (model 4, Arthur H. Thomas Co., Philadelphia, PA) before incubation.

### Chemical analysis

DM was determined by drying the samples at 105 °C. Nitrogen (N) content was measured by the Kjeldahl method (AOAC, 2005). Neutral detergent fiber and ADF were measured according to the method of Goering and Van Soest (1970).

### In situ degradation

In situ methods procedures was determined using Nocek et al. (1988) and reviewed by Palangi (2008), the ground samples (5g) were placed in Dacron bags (5.5×10 cm;47-µm pore size) and were closed using glue. Each feed sample was incubated in 4 replicates (2 replicates for each whether) in the rumen. The incubation times for samples were 0,4,8,16,24,36,48,72 and 96 h. Nylon bags were suspended in the rumen in a polyester mesh bag(25×40 cm;3mm pore size) and were removed from the rumen at the same time so that all bags could be washed simultaneously. The nylon bags were then removed from the mesh bag and washing until the rinse water remained clear. Samples were then dried in an oven at 55 °C until a constant weight was achieved before determination of DM disappearance. The DM and CP degradation data was fitted to the exponential equation  $P = a+b(1 - e^{-ct})$  (Ørskov and McDonald, 1979), where P: is the disappearance of nutrients during time t, a: the soluble nutrients fraction which is rapidly washed out of the bags and assumed to be completely degradable, b: the proportion of insoluble nutrients which is potentially degradable by microorganisms, c: is the degradation rate of fraction b per hour and t is time of incubation.

### Calculations and Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed as a completely randomized design using a general linear model (GLM) procedure of SAS, with Duncan's multiple range test used for the comparison of means. Feeds were the only sources of variation considered.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Chemical composition

The chemical composition of feeds were shown in Table 1. The obtained data for CP of different forages was lower than alfalfa CP, compared to NRC (1989), AFRC (1995), Kleinchmit et al. (2007) and Trater et al. (2001).

The obtained ADF values in this study were more than Kleinchmit et al. (2007) and Broderick et al. (2002). The obtained data for dry matter of test feeds from this study was greater than the values reported by Baumgartel et al. (2007), and Besharati and Taghizadeh (2009). The percentage of crude protein of test feeds showed similar values with the data reported by Baumgartel et al. (2007), also was higher than those values reported by Baumgartel et al. (2007).

There were significant difference in dry matter, crude protein, acid detergent fiber and neutral detergent fiber in test feeds. There were differences between the amounts of acid detergent fiber, neutral detergent fiber, crude protein and ash obtained in this study and the National Research Council (2001). The difference between chemical can be resulted from the variance in variety, climate condition, soil and maturity.

**Table 1 - The chemical composition of feedstuffs**

Forages	%DM	%CP	%NDF	%ADF	%ADIN
1	95.45 <sup>a</sup>	9.46 <sup>c</sup>	47.60 <sup>b</sup>	30.67 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 <sup>c</sup>
2	89.79 <sup>c</sup>	12.38 <sup>b</sup>	53.10 <sup>a</sup>	24.16 <sup>b</sup>	1.52 <sup>a</sup>
3	92.13 <sup>b</sup>	11.27 <sup>b</sup>	42.78 <sup>c</sup>	21.86 <sup>c</sup>	1.05 <sup>bc</sup>
4	84.02 <sup>d</sup>	14.14 <sup>a</sup>	37.81 <sup>d</sup>	25.27 <sup>b</sup>	1.19 <sup>b</sup>
SEM	0.4149	0.4054	0.5235	0.3901	0.0621

1- *Agropyron intermedium Boiss* 2- *Coronilla Varia* 3- *Ziziphora Tenuior* 4- *Scorzonera grossheimii lipsch*

### In situ ruminal degradability

The degradability parameters of DM and CP are shown in Tables 2 and 3. *Coronilla Varia* showed high ruminal DM disappearance in all of the incubation times there were significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) and *Agropyron intermedium Boiss*, showed the lowest ruminal DM disappearance in all of the incubation times ( $P < 0.05$ ). The ruminal CP disappearance of *Coronilla Varia* is higher and the *Agropyron intermedium Boiss* showed lower ruminal CP disappearance there were significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ). Regarding to increasing of environmental temperature, the lignin content can be enhanced, and then low degradability is expected. Our results for DM were higher than Yousef elahi et al. (2008).

Sallow showed higher CP degradation at the 24h of incubation ( $P < 0.05$ ). CP degradation process in our study is in consist with Waghorn et al (1995)'s reported data. The chemical composition of feeds influenced ruminal degradation process.



**Table 2 - In situ DM disappearance (% of DM)**

Forages	Incubation time (h)								
	0	4	8	16	24	36	48	72	96
1	23.39 <sup>c</sup>	26.32 <sup>b</sup>	29.71 <sup>b</sup>	45.68 <sup>b</sup>	52.49 <sup>b</sup>	57.18 <sup>b</sup>	61.75 <sup>b</sup>	65.19	66.77
2	26.47 <sup>a</sup>	30.31 <sup>a</sup>	33.75 <sup>a</sup>	49.19 <sup>a</sup>	56.62 <sup>a</sup>	60.37 <sup>a</sup>	63.37 <sup>a</sup>	66.41	67.09
3	25.19 <sup>b</sup>	29.16 <sup>a</sup>	33.63 <sup>a</sup>	48.76 <sup>a</sup>	55.38 <sup>a</sup>	59.31 <sup>a</sup>	62.39 <sup>b</sup>	65.44	66.54
4	21.32 <sup>d</sup>	24.63 <sup>c</sup>	28.67 <sup>c</sup>	44.71 <sup>b</sup>	52.58 <sup>b</sup>	57.69 <sup>b</sup>	61.85 <sup>b</sup>	65.47	66.82
SEM	0.3122	0.3772	0.2650	0.3195	0.4536	0.3923	0.4149	0.3739	0.2448

1- *Agropyron intermedium* Boiss 2- *Coronilla Varia* 3- *Ziziphora Tenuior* 4- *Scorzonera grossheimii* lipsch

**Table 3 - In situ CP disappearance (% of DM)**

Forages	Incubation time (h)								
	0	4	8	16	24	36	48	72	96
1	18.47 <sup>c</sup>	24.67 <sup>c</sup>	30.66 <sup>b</sup>	40.62 <sup>b</sup>	54.63 <sup>b</sup>	61.54 <sup>b</sup>	67.45 <sup>b</sup>	73.65	77.50
2	20.63 <sup>a</sup>	26.54 <sup>a</sup>	32.70 <sup>a</sup>	41.60 <sup>a</sup>	55.62 <sup>a</sup>	62.63 <sup>a</sup>	68.18 <sup>a</sup>	74.39	78.18
3	19.67 <sup>b</sup>	25.60 <sup>b</sup>	32.59 <sup>a</sup>	41.48 <sup>a</sup>	55.76 <sup>a</sup>	62.43 <sup>a</sup>	68.07 <sup>a</sup>	74.20	78.02
4	17.72 <sup>d</sup>	23.89 <sup>d</sup>	29.94 <sup>b</sup>	40.45 <sup>b</sup>	54.80 <sup>b</sup>	61.35 <sup>b</sup>	67.86 <sup>ab</sup>	73.76	78.00
SEM	0.2059	0.2298	0.2482	0.2531	0.2219	0.2199	0.1647	0.1594	0.1168

1- *Agropyron intermedium* Boiss 2- *Coronilla Varia* 3- *Ziziphora Tenuior* 4- *Scorzonera grossheimii* lipsch

Pasture forages are a source of digestible energy, rumen degraded and un-degraded protein, vitamins and minerals, thereby reducing requirements for concentrates and reducing feeding costs.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to *Parsabad Moghan Branch, Islamic Azad University Parsabad, Iran* for support of the project.

#### REFERENCES

- Afaf MF, El-Ashry MA and Aziz A (2009). Effect of feeding olive tree pruning by-products on sheep performance in Sinai. *World Journal of Agriculture Science*. 5(4): 430-445.
- Agricultural and food research council (AFRC), (1993). Energy and protein requirements of ruminant animal. CAB International, Walling Ford. Oxon, England.
- AOAC (2005). Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC international. AOAC international. Maryland, USA.
- Baumgartel T, Kluth H, Epperlein K, and Rodehutschord M (2007) A note on digestibility and energy value for sheep of different grape pomace. *Small. Rum. Res.*, 67: 302-306.
- Belyea R, Restrepo R, Martz F and Ellersieck M (1999). Effect of year and cutting on equations for estimating net energy of alfalfa forage. *J.Dairy Sci* 82:1943-1949.
- Besharati M and Taghizadeh A (2009) Evaluation of dried grape by-product as a tanniniferous tropical feedstuff. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 152: 198-203.
- Besharati M Taghizadeh A Janmohamadi H and Moghaddam GH (2007). The Determination of degradability of grape by-product using in situ and gas production techniques. *Iranian. Agric. Sci.*, 18(3): 173-185.
- Broderick GA, Koegel RG, Walgenbach RP, and Kraust TJ (2002). Ryegrass or alfalfa silage as the dietary forage for lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy. Sci.* 85: 1894-1901.
- Cone JW (1998) Influence of protein fermentation on gas production profiles. *Proc. Soc. Nutr. Physiol.*, 7: 36-43.
- Hoffman PC, Sievert SJ, Shaver RD, Welch DA, and Combs DK (1993). In Situ Dry Matter, Protein, and Fiber Degradation of Perennial Forages. *J. Dairy Sci* 76: 2632-2643.
- Kleinschmit DH, Schingoethe DJ, Hippen AR and Kalscheur KF (2007). Dried distillers grains plus solubles with corn silage or alfalfa hay as the primary forage source in dairy cow diets. *J.Dairy Sci* 90:5587-5599.
- Marshall DS, Bach A and Calsamiglia S (1997). Alternative techniques for measuring nutrient digestion in ruminants. *J. Anim. Sci* 75:2256-2276.
- National Research Council (NRC). (1989) Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle. Six revised edition Washington. DC.
- National Research Council (2001). Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle. 7<sup>th</sup> Edn., National Academy Press, Washington, DC, USA.
- Nelson WF and Satter LD (1992). Impact of stage of maturity and method of preservation of alfalfa on digestion in lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 75: 1571-1580.
- Nocek JE (1988) In situ and other methods to estimate ruminal protein and energy digestibility. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 71: 2051-2069.
- NRC (1989). Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle. 6th Edn. Natl. acad. Sci., Washington. DC.



- Ørskov ERI and Mc Donald IM (1979). The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighted according to rate of passage. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 92: 499-503.
- Palangi V (2008). The Determination of Palatability and Nutritive Value of Alfalfa in Different Cut Using Nylon Bag and Gas Production Techniques. M.Sc Thesis, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Maragheh, Iran.
- Paya H, Taghizadeh A, Janamohamadi H and Moghadam GA (2008). Ruminant Dry Matter and Crude Protein Degradability of some tropical (Iranian) feeds used in ruminant diets estimated using the in situ and in vitro techniques. *Journal of biological sciences* 3 (7): 720-725.
- Santini FJ, CD Lu, Potchoiba MJ, Fernandez JM and Coleman SW (1992). Dietary fiber and milk yield, mastication, digestion, and rate of passage in goats fed alfalfa hay. *J.Dairy Sci* 75:209-219.
- Singh M and Kamstra LD (1981). Utiliation of whole Aspen tree material as a roughage componenet in growing cattle diets. *J. Anim Sci.* Vol 53. No.3.
- Tolera A and Sundstol F (1999). Morphological fractions of maize stover harvested at different stages of grain maturity and nutritive value of different fravtions of the stover. *Anim.Feed.Sci.Technol.*81:1-16
- Trater AM Titgemeyer EC, LÖest CA and Lambert BD (2001). Effects of supplemental alfalfa hay on the digestion of soybean hull-based diets by cattle. *J. Anim. Sci* 79:1346-1351.
- Van soest PJ (1988). Nutritional ecology of the ruminant. *098 Books, INC. Corvalis, OR.*
- Waldo DR (1986). Effect of forage quality on intake and forage-concentrate interactions. *J.Dairy Sci* 69:617-631.
- Yousef elahi M and Rouzbehan Y (2008). Characteriztion of quercus persica, quercus infectorica and quercus libani as ruminant feeds. *J. Anim. Feed Sci. And Techno.* 140:78-89.