Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research Volume 7, Issue 3: 58-64; May 25, 2017



# STOMACH ADAPTATIONS OF BROILERS FED Acacia angustissima LEAF MEAL BASED DIETS

Sharai NCUBE<sup>1</sup><sup>SE</sup>, Tinyiko Edward HALIMANI<sup>1,</sup> Musavengana Tapera TIVAPASI<sup>2</sup>, Solomon DHLIWAYO<sup>2</sup>, Edward Venancio-Imbayarwo CHIKOSI<sup>1</sup> and Petronella Tapiwa SAIDI<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Zimbabwe, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Animal Science, Box MP167, Mt Pleasant, Harare, Zimbabwe <sup>2</sup>University of Zimbabwe, Faculty of Veterinary, Department of Clinical Veterinary Studies, Box MP167, Mt Pleasant, Harare, Zimbabwe <sup>\*</sup>Email: sharaincube7@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT**: The study determined effect of *Acacia angustissima* leaf meal on the stomach physiology of broilers. 150 day old chicks were randomly allocated to 0%, 5% and 10% *A. angustissima* leaf meal based diets for six weeks with five replicates per treatment. At weeks 2, 4 and 6, two birds from each replicate were slaughtered, dressed and weighed. The weights of the proventriculus and gizzard were measured. Approximately 1 cm specimen was taken from each organ, fixed in formalin and stained for histological analysis using a light microscopy. Proventriculus muscle layer thickness, gland diameter and secretory layer thickness decreased with increasing leaf meal levels (P<0.05). *A. angustissima* leaf meal had no effect on the physiology of the gizzard during the starter phase (P>0.05). Continued use of the of *A. angustissima* leaf meal in the grower and finisher diets resulted in increased weight and muscle thickness of the gizzard (P<0.05). It was concluded that *A. angustissima* leaf meal reduced the physiological capacity of the proventriculus to secrete digestive juices and enhanced the physiological capacity of the gizzard for mechanical digestion.



Keywords: Acacia angustissima, Broilers, Gizzard, Grinding capacity, Proventriculus

# INTRODUCTION

The increased demand of poultry meat has directed breeding focus towards a fast growing broiler with increased feed efficiency and greater final weights (Olanrewaju et al., 2006; Petracci and Cavani, 2012). To support the fast growth in broilers, soybean and maize have been the most conventionally used protein and energy sources (Ochetim, 1993; Onuh et al., 2010). However the increasing cost and scarcity of these conventional ingredients has been reported to affect broiler production in most developing countries (Rao et al., 2005; Khattak et al., 2006; Anaeto and Adighibe, 2011; Gadzirayi et al., 2012; Diara and Devi, 2015), prompting use of alternative ingredients.

In line with this drive, Ncube et al. (2012ab) assessed the potential of *A. angustissima* leaves as a broiler protein ingredient. Based on their findings, inclusion of *A. angustissima* at 5-10% in diets can support growth of broiler. However, findings also show that inclusion of 5 to 10 % of the leaf meal can result in 14.28 to 17.86 % increase in the weight of the gizzard (Ncube et al., 2012c), possibly implying some physiological changes on the organ. It's important to check if increase in size of the gizzard is of a pathological nature or simple adaptations to leaf meal presence. It was the objective of this study to determine effect of graded levels of *A. angustissima* leaf meal based diets on the physiology of the proventriculus and gizzard.

#### MATERIALS AND METHOD

A. angustissima leaves were harvested at mid-maturity stage of growth, air dried and ground through a 1mm sieve. Dry matter, crude protein, crude fiber, ash (AOAC, 1990), condensed tannins (Porter et al., 1986), soluble and insoluble fibres (Parsaie et al., 2006) were determined (Table 1). Three iso-nitrogenous and iso-energetic diets were formulated for a three phase feeding programme at 0%, 5% and 10% leaf meal inclusion (Table 2). One hundred and fifty day old unsexed Cobb 500 broiler chicks were randomly allocated to 15 groups with 10 birds per group. The groups were randomly allocated to the three diets in five replicates. The starter, grower and finisher diets were fed from week 1 to 2, week 3 to 4 and week 5 to 6 respectively. Feed and water were provided *ad libitum* throughout the trial.

At weeks 2, 4 and 6, ten birds per treatment were slaughtered and dressed. The proventriculus and gizzard were removed and weighed. The weight of the organs was expressed as proportions of hot dressed weight. For purposes of histopathology, approximately, 1 cm of the proventriculus and gizzard were cut and fixed in 10% saline formalin. The fixed fragments were stained on slides (Bacha and Bacha, 2000).

Using a Leitz MD5 light microscope fitted with an eye piece graticle, ten points of the following parameters were measured and averaged into one value per bird. The proventriculus muscle thickness, secretory layer thickness and diameter, the thickness of the gizzard muscle, gizzard glandular and keratin layer were measured at x4 objective. The number and size of muscle fibers of the gizzard were counted and measured across a 0.2 mm length at x40 objective. All procedures in this experiment followed guidelines by the Zimbabwe Scientific Animal Act, 1963, subsection 2 of section 4, License Number L624. To determine effect of diet on the physiology of the organs, ANOVA was carried out using PROC GLM procedure of SAS version 9.3. Comparison of means was done using Tukey's test.

| Table 1 - Chemical composition of A. angustissima leaf meal |                |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Chemical Component  | Percentage (%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dry matter  | 90.00          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ash   | 4.77           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude protein   | 23.40          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude fibre   | 13.00          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calcium   | 0.94           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phosphorus  | 0.17           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condensed tannins   | 1.06           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insoluble Dietary Fibre                                     | 9.24           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soluble Dietary Fibre                                       | 4.96           |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Table 2 - Ingredient and chemical composition of diets

| Ingredient(kg) -              |         | Starter Diets |        | 0       | Grower Diets |        | Finisher Diets |        |        |  |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------------|--------|---------|--------------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|--|
|                               | Control | Diet 1        | Diet 2 | Control | Diet 1       | Diet 2 | Control        | Diet 1 | Diet 2 |  |
| Soya Meal                     | 30.00   | 25.00         | 20.00  | 18.7    | 13.70        | 8.70   | 18.60          | 13.60  | 8.60   |  |
| Meat and Bone Meal            | 5.00    | 5.00          | 5.00   | 5.00    | 5.00         | 5.00   | 5.00           | 5.00   | 5.00   |  |
| Sorghum meal                  | 10.00   | 0.00          | 10.00  | 0.00    | 9.90         | 10.00  | 0.00           | 0.00   | 0.00   |  |
| Acacia leaf meal              | 0.00    | 5.00          | 10.00  | 0.00    | 5.00         | 10.00  | 0.00           | 5.00   | 10.00  |  |
| Blood meal                    | 0.00    | 0.00          | 0.00   | 0.00    | 2.00         | 3.00   | 1.20           | 1.80   | 3.00   |  |
| Sunflower cake                | 2.50    | 1.30          | 0.00   | 1.70    | 1.50         | 2.1.0  | 0.00           | 0.00   | 0.00   |  |
| L. Threonine                  | 0.06    | 0.06          | 0.03   | 0.05    | 0.00         | 0.45   | 0.00           | 0.00   | 0.00   |  |
| Soya oil                      | 0.00    | 0.00          | 0.00   | 0.00    | 1.60         | 3.00   | 0.00           | 1.30   | 2.40   |  |
| Wheat bran                    | 0.00    | 0.00          | 2.10   | 0.00    | 0.00         | 0.00   | 0.00           | 0.00   | 0.00   |  |
| Soya oil                      | 0.00    | 0.00          | 1.50   | 0.00    | 0.00         | 0.00   | 0.00           | 0.00   | 0.00   |  |
| Maize meal                    | 48.60   | 56.90         | 44.00  | 68.1    | 55.00        | 51.40  | 73.00          | 70.40  | 67.50  |  |
| Fish meal                     | 1.20    | 4.90          | 5.00   | 4.6     | 4.60         | 5.00   | 0.10           | 1.00   | 2.00   |  |
| DL Methionine                 | 0.30    | 0.29          | 0.79   | 0.19    | 0.16         | 0.11   | 0.15           | 0.15   | 0.07   |  |
| Lysine HCL                    | 0.26    | 0.22          | 0.28   | 0.21    | 0.14         | 0.12   | 0.00           | 0.00   | 0.00   |  |
| Monocalcium phosphate         | 0.50    | 0.30          | 0.30   | 0.2     | 0.30         | 0.30   | 0.16           | 0.15   | 0.07   |  |
| limestone                     | 0.88    | 0.43          | 0.40   | 0.65    | 0.50         | 0.27   | 0.74           | 0.55   | 0.36   |  |
| Salt                          | 0.40    | 0.30          | 0.30   | 0.3     | 0.30         | 0.25   | 0.35           | 0.35   | 0.30   |  |
| Broiler Premix <sup>123</sup> | 0.30    | 0.30          | 0.30   | 0.3     | 0.30         | 0.30   | 0.30           | 0.30   | 0.30   |  |
| Total                         | 100     | 100           | 100    | 100     | 100          | 100    | 100            | 100    | 100    |  |
| Chemical composition          |         |               |        |         |              |        |                |        |        |  |
| Crude protein (g/kg)          | 226.00  | 226.13        | 225.28 | 199.90  | 199.74       | 200.12 | 175.00         | 174.94 | 174.93 |  |
| ME (MJ/kg)                    | 12.50   | 12.46         | 12.39  | 13.09   | 13.07        | 13.08  | 13.20          | 13.21  | 13.18  |  |
| EE (g/kg)                     | 36.80   | 39.04         | 51.94  | 41.64   | 55.17        | 67.71  | 39.19          | 51.27  | 61.45  |  |
| CF (g/kg)                     | 41.50   | 40.15         | 49.98  | 34.38   | 39.88        | 46.84  | 31.90          | 37.88  | 43.86  |  |
| Ca (g/kg)                     | 9.98    | 9.52          | 9.88   | 9.22    | 5.59         | 5.60   | 4.93           | 8.63   | 8.74   |  |
| P (g/kg)                      | 7.08    | 7.10          | 7.04   | 6.53    | 6.61         | 6.58   | 6.00           | 6.02   | 6.08   |  |
| Condensed tannins (%)         | 0.004   | 0.059         | 0.076  | 0.0036  | 0.056        | 0.083  | 0.0043         | 0.055  | 0.077  |  |

<sup>1</sup>Composition: 9.9u.i vitamin A, 1.95u.i vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, 30u.i vitamin E, 2.9g Vitamin B1, 7.5g Vitamin B2, 30g Vitamin PP Niacin, 12.1g Vitamin B5, 3g Vitamin B6, 1g vitamin B9 Folic Acid, 150mg Vitamin B7/Biotin, 20mg Vitamin B12, 300g Choline, 60g Iron, 10g Copper, 100g Manganese, 100g Zinc, 1g, Iodine , 0.5g Cobalt, 300mg Selenium. <sup>2</sup>Composition: 8u.i vitamin A, 2u.i vitamin D, 25u.i vitamin E, 2g Vitamin K3, 1.75g Vitamin B1, 6g Vitamin B2, 25g Vitamin B2, 25g Vitamin B5, 2g Vitamin B9 Folic Acid, 100mg Vitamin B7/Biotin, 15mg Vitamin B12, 250g Choline, 50g Iron, 8g Copper, 80g Manganese, 80g Zinc, 1g, Iodine , 0.5g Cobalt, 250mg Selenium. <sup>3</sup>Composition: 6u.i vitamin A, 1.5u.i vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, 20u.i vitamin E, 1.5g Vitamin B1, 5g Vitamin B1, 5g Vitamin B5, 1.5g Vitamin B6, 0.6g vitamin B9 Folic Acid, 80mg Vitamin B7/Biotin, 15mg Vitamin B12, 200g Choline, 40g Iron, 6g Copper, 80g Manganese, 60g Zinc, 1g, Iodine , 0.25g Cobalt, 200mg Selenium

59

To cite this paper: Ncube S, Halimani TE, Tivapasi MT, Dhliwayo S, Chikosi E V-I and Tapiwa Saidi P. (2017). Stomach adaptations of broilers fed Acacia angustissima leaf meal based diets. Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 7(3): 58-64. Scienceline/Journal homepress www.science-line.com; www.ojafr.ir

| Parameter                           | Control        | 5 % AA                    | 10 % AA            | SE     |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Proventriculus weight (%)           | 0.846          | 0.855                     | 0.855              | 0.0341 |
| Proventriculus muscle (mm)          | 0.221ª         | 0.213ª                    | 0.169 <sup>b</sup> | 0.0065 |
| Proventriculus gland diameter (mm)  | <b>1.267</b> ª | <b>1.180</b> <sup>b</sup> | 1.094°             | 0.0237 |
| Secretory cell layer thickness (mm) | 0.605ª         | 0.571 <sup>ab</sup>       | 0.556 <sup>b</sup> | 0.0135 |

| A 4- ()A () ) | Gizzard weight (%) |                    |                    | Gizzard muscle thickness(mm) |                    | Keratin Layer(mm)          |                | Myofibril (mm)     |                    |        | Number of Fibers   |                 |        |                    |                    |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Age(Wk)       | 0 % AA             | 5 % AA             | 10 % AA            | 0 % AA                       | 5 % AA             | 10 % AA                    | 0% AA          | 5 % AA             | 10 % AA            | 0 % AA | 5% AA              | 10 % AA         | 0% AA  | 5 % AA             | 10 % AA            |
| 2             | 4.420              | 4.610              | 4.580              | 2.880                        | 3.199              | 3.290                      | 0.269          | 0.328              | 0.430              | 0.064  | 0.065              | 0.068           | 3.194  | 3.340              | 3.034              |
| 4             | <b>2.601</b> ª     | 3.472 <sup>b</sup> | <b>4.051</b> ⁵     | 3.052ª                       | <b>5.098</b> ⁵     | 5.797⋼                     | 0.416ª         | 0.577ª             | 0.783 <sup>₅</sup> | 0.071ª | 0.121 <sup>b</sup> | 0. <b>1</b> 35° | 3.023ª | 2.013 <sup>b</sup> | 1.541 <sup>b</sup> |
| 6             | <b>2.040</b> ª     | 2.393ª             | 3.062 <sup>b</sup> | 5.005ª                       | 8.430 <sup>b</sup> | <b>10.095</b> <sup>b</sup> | <b>1.006</b> ª | 0.753 <sup>₅</sup> | 0.346°             | 0.078ª | 0.121 <sup>b</sup> | 0.141°          | 2.586ª | <b>1.675</b> ⁵     | 1.485 <sup>b</sup> |
| SE            | 0.210              | 0.210              | 0.210              | 0.418                        | 0.418              | 0.418                      | 0.044          | 0.044              | 0.044              | 0.006  | 0.006              | 0.006           | 0.179  | 0.179              | 0.179              |

#### RESULTS

A. angustissima had no effect on proportionate weight of the proventriculus but proventriculus muscle layer thickness, gland diameter and secretory layer thickness decreased with increasing leaf meal levels (P<0.05; Table 3).

The proportionate weight of the gizzard, thickness of gizzard muscle, keratin layer, myofibril thickness and number of myofibrils per unit length was influenced by age of birds. The proportionate weight of the gizzard, thickness of the gizzard muscle layer, keratin layer, fiber muscle and number of fibers (Table 4) was the same across treatments at week two (P>0.05). By week four, an increase in the proportionate weight of the gizzard, thickness of muscle layer, keratin layer, muscle fiber and a decrease in the fiber numbers was noted with increasing level of the leaf meal (P<0.05). By the end of the week 6 the proportionate weight of the gizzard, gizzard muscle thickness, muscle fiber thickness increased with increasing levels of the leaf meal while the keratin layer thickness and number of myofibrils decreased with increasing levels of the leaf meal (P<0.05).

An increase in the level of the leaf meal resulted in significant increases of the gizzard glandular layer thicknesses from  $0.731 \pm 0.032$  mm of the control to  $0.842 \pm 0.032$  mm and  $0.762 \pm 0.032$  mm of the 5% and 10% fed birds respectively (P<0.05; Table 4). Glandular layer for birds on the 5% fed bird increased by 15.18% while those on the 10% diet increased by 4.24%. Gizzard from birds on the 5% diet had the thickest glandular layer, which was not different from the birds on the 10% diet (P>0.05).

#### DISCUSSION

Reduced muscle layer, gland diameter and thickness of secretory cell layers of the proventriculus at 10% inclusion of the leaf meal could be indicative of reduced capacity to secrete mucus, hydrochloric acid, and pepsinogen in the presence of the leaf meal. As gastrointestinal mass reduces, so does the functional capacity of organs (Starck, 1998). This is usually regulated by presence of food molecules in the organ (Eckert et al., 1988). Presence of the leaf meal, a fibrous ingredient, can reduce feed intake as it can make the diets bulkier.

The increase in proportionate weight and muscle layer thickness of the gizzard with increasing levels of the leaf meal depicts enhanced mechanical digestion by the organ. The need by the gizzard to meet the demand for greater grinding of increased dietary fiber quantities explain the increase in the proportionate weight and muscle layer of the gizzard. Fiber particles are generally harder to grind than other dietary components (Mateos et al., 2012), thus presence has been noted to promote thickening of the gizzard muscle layer (Banfield and Forbes, 2001; Sobayo et al., 2012; Adeyemi et al., 2013) as is also recorded in this study. Similarly to current results, Incharoen (2013) reported increased proportionate weight of the gizzard of broilers fed rice hull based diets and attributed the increase in weight to presence of fibers. Kagya-Agyemang et al. (2007), Borin (2012), Jiang et al. (2012) and Adeyemi et al. (2013) also reported increased proportionate weight of the gizzard on inclusion of G. sepium, cassava, Alfalfa and cassava leaf meals respectively. Since the major function of the gizzard is to mechanically break down feed particles through muscle contractions (Sobayo et al., 2012; Adeyemi et al., 2013; Svihus, 2014), the increased proportion of the gizzard relative to body weights is a physiological adaptation to increasing levels of the *A. angustissima* leaf meal.

The increase in the proportionate weight of the gizzard in this study was through thickening of the muscle layer, an indication of increased muscle activity with addition of the leaf meal. According to Akester (1986), Svihus (2011) and Svihus (2014), when the contractile activity of the gizzard increases, the gizzard wall becomes thicker as the organ attempts to increase its digestive efficiency (Waugh et al., 2007). Obun et al. (2008) also says that the development of the gizzard muscle is triggered by the work load imposed on the organ. According to Borin (2012) even minimum increases in crude fiber can stimulate gizzard development. Therefore presence of *A. angustissima* in the diet stimulated gizzard function, resulting in the expressive muscle development observed as thickening of the muscle fiber with increasing levels of the leaf meal in the diet. The increase in the thickness of the gizzard muscle layer was through hypertrophy as seen by the increase in myofibril thickness with an associated decline in muscle numbers. The stimulus to such hypertrophic responses is the contractile activity of the gizzard as the mechanical load on the organ increased (Vaughan and Goldspink, 1979). Such a response would result in an increase in the amount of contractile muscle without an increase in the number of muscle fibers (Paul and Rosenthal, 2002) and is attributed to the increase in the bulkiness of digesta (Starck and Rahmaan, 2003).

The non-significant effect of treatment on all gizzard parameters at the starter phase indicates the ability of all the diets to supply nutrients that could support growth during that growth phase. This could be due the presence of the highly nutritious yolk sac, coupled with the still low nutritional demands by the chicks and the still developing gastrointestinal tract capacity (Nay and Sklan, 2002; Maiorka et al., 2006; Panda et al., 2006). With the residual yolk providing digestible nutrients that are responsible for the development of the GIT (Dibner and Richards, 2004)

61

not a lot of grinding is expected from the still developing gizzard. The results indicated that early development of gastro intestinal tract was independent of presence of *A. angustissima* leaf meal in starter diets. The gizzard must also go through a period of development (Maiorka et al., 2006) and can only adapt to fibrous diets when it is fully functionally developed (Nkukwana et al., 2015). As the birds grew older, the increase in nutritional demands can help explain the need for increased gizzard functional capacity.

# CONCLUSION

The study concludes that increasing levels of *A. angustissima* leaf meal in broiler diet decreases the proventriculus muscle thickness, proventriculus gland diameter and the secretory layer thickness of the proventriculus. Effect on the gizzard was depended on the age of the broilers. Inclusion of *A. angustissima* in broiler starter diets had no effect on the physiology of the gizzard. In the grower and finisher phases of feeding, increasing levels of *A. angustissima* resulted in increased weight of the gizzard through an increase in muscle layer. The increase in the thickness of the muscle layer was through a hypertrophic response of muscle fibres to presence of *A. angustissima* leaf meal. Therefore inclusion of *A. angustissima* leaf meal in broiler diets reduced physiological capacity of the proventriculus to secrete digestive juices and enhanced physiological capacity of the gizzard for mechanical digestion

## Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank the University of Zimbabwe's Vice Chancellor fund for financing this work.

## Author's contribution

All authors contributed equally to this work.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# REFERENCES

- Adeyemi OA, Jimoh B and Olufade OO (2013). Soybean meal replacement with cassava leaf blood meal mix with or without enzyme in broiler diets. Archivos de Zootecnia, 62: 275-285.
- Akester AR (1986). Structure of the glandular layer and koilin membrane in the gizzard of the adult domestic fowl (Gallus gallus domesticus). Journal of Anatomy, 147: 1-25.
- Anaeto M and Adighibe LC (2011). Cassava root meal as substitute for maize in layers ration. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science, 13:153-156.
- AOAC (1990). Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 15th edition. Washington DC, USA.
- Bacha WJ and Bacha LM (2000). Color Atlas of veterinary Histology. 2nd edition. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia, USA.
- Banfield MJ and Forbes JM (2001). Effects of whole wheat dilution substitution on coccidiosis in broiler chickens. British Journal of Nutrition, 86: 89-95.
- Borin K, Lindberg JE and Ogle RB (2006). Digestibility and digestive organ development in indigenous and improved chickens and ducks fed diets with increasing inclusion levels of Cassava leaf meal. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition, 90: 230-237.
- Diara SS and Devi A (2015). Feeding value of some cassava by-products meal for poultry: A review. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 14: 735-741.
- Dibner JJ and Richards JD (2004). The digestive system: Challenges and opportunities. Journal of Applied Poultry Research, 13: 84-93.
- Eckert R, Randall D and Augustine G (1988). Anatomy and physiology: Mechanisms and adaptations. 3rd edition, Library of Congress, USA, 533-548.
- Gadzirayi CT, Masamha B, Mupangwa JF, and Washaya S (2012). Performance of broilers chickens fed on mature Moringa oleifera leaf meal as a protein supplements to soyabean meal. International Journal of Poultry Science, 11: 5-10.
- Incharoen T (2013). Histological adaptation of the gastrointestinal tract of broilers fed diets containing insoluble fiber from rice hull meal. American Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences, 8: 79-88.

- Jiang JF, Sang XM, Huang X, Zhou WD, Wu JL, Zhu HC, and Jiang YQ (2012). Effects of Alfalfa meal on growth performance and gastrointestinal tract development of growing ducks. Asian –Australasian Journal of Animal Science, 25: 1445-14450.
- Kagya-Agyemang JK, Takyi-Boampong G, Adjei M and Karikari-Bonsu FR (2007). A note on the effect of Gliricidia sepium leaf meal on the growth performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences, 16:104-108.
- Khattak FM, Pasha TN, Hayat Z and Mahmud A (2006). Enzymes in poultry nutrition. Journal of Animal and Plant Science, 16: 1-7.
- Maiorka A, Dahllk F and de A. Morgulis M S F (2006). Broiler adaptation to post-hatching period. Cienc. Rural. Accessed from http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782006000200057
- Mateos G G, Jimenez- Monero E, Serrano MP and Lazaro RP (2012). Poultry responses to high levels of dietary fiber sources varying in physical and chemical characteristics. Journal of Applied Poultry Science, 21:156-174.
- Nay Y and Sklan D (2002). Nutrient use in chicks during the first week posthatch. Poultry Science, 8: 391-399.
- Nkukwana TT, Muchenje V, Masika PJ and Mushonga B (2015). Intestinal morphology, digestive organ size and digesta pH of broiler chickens fed diets supplemented with or without Moringa oleifera leaf meal. South African Journal of Animal Science, 45: 362-370.
- Ncube S, Hamudikuwanda H and Saidi PT (2012a). Carcass yield and characteristics in broilers fed Acacia angustissima leaf meal-based diets. Journal of Zimbabwean Studies: Science Technology and Health. 1:72-78.
- Ncube S, Hamudikuwanda H and Banda P (2012b). The Potential of Acacia angustissima Leaf Meal as a Supplementary Feed Source in Broiler Finisher Diets. International Journal of Poultry Science, 11: 55-60.
- Ncube S, Hamudikuwanda H and Saidi PT (2012c). Voluntary feed intake and growth of broilers on Acacia angustissima leaf meal based starter and finisher diets. Livestock Research for Rural Development, 24(08). Retrieved November 21, 2016, from http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd24/8/ncub24128.htm
- Obun C O, Olafadehan OA, Ayanwale BA and Inuwa M (2008). Growth, carcass and organ weights of finisher broilers fed differently processed Detarium microcarpum (Guill and Sperr) seed meal. Livestock Research for Rural Development, 20 (126). Retrieved November 21, 2016, from http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd20/8/obun20126.htm
- Ochetim S (1993). The feeding and economic value of maize cob meal for broiler chickens. Asian –Australasian Journal of Animal Science, 6: 367-371.
- Olanrewaju HA, Thaxton JP, Dozier WA, Purswell J, Roush WB and Bronten SL (2006). A review of lighting programmes for broiler production. International Journal of Poultry Science, 5: 301-308.
- Onuh SO, Orsterga DD and Okoh JJ (2010). Comparative evaluation of maize and soyabean as energy sources for broiler chickens. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 9: 520-523.
- Panda AK, Sunder GS, Rao SVR and Raju MVLN (2006). Early nutrition enhances growth and speeds up gut development. World Poultry, 24: 15-16.
- Paul A C and Rosenthal N (2002). Different modes of hypertrophy in skeletal muscle fibers. Journal of Cell Biology, 156: 751–760.
- Petracci M and Cavani C (2012). Muscle growth and poultry meat quality issues. Nutrition. 4:1-2.
- Parsaie S, Shariatmadari F, Zamiri M J and Khajeh K (2006). Evaluation of Starch, Soluble and Insoluble Non-starch Polysaccharides and Metabolisable Energy of 15 Cultivars of Iranian Wheat. Journal of Agriculture and Social Sciences, 2(4).
- Porter LJ, Hrstich LN, Chan BG (1986). The conversion of procyanidins and prodelphinidins to cyanidin and delphinidin. Phytochemistry, 25: 223-230.
- Rao SVR, Raju MVLN, Panda A K and Shashibindu M S (2005). Utilization of low glucosinalate and conventional mustards oilseed cakes in commercial broiler chicken diets. Asian –Australasian Journal of Animal Science, 18:1157-1163.
- Sobayo R A, Oso AO, Adeyemi O A, Fafiolu A O, Jegede A V, Idowu O M O, Dairo O U, Iyerimah R B, Ayoola O A, and Awosanya R A (2012). Changes in growth, digestibility and gut anatomy by broilers fed diets containing ethanol-treated castor oil seed (*Ricinus communis* L.) meal. Revista Científica UDO Agrícola, 12: 660-667.
- Starck JM (1998). Structural variants and invariants in avian embryonic and post natal development. In: Starck J.M and R.E Ricklefs (Eds) Avian Growth and development: Evolution within the Altricial-Precocial Spectrum. Oxford University Press, New York, Oxford, 82-86.
- Starck J M and Rahmaan GHA (2003). Phenotypic flexibility of structure and function of the digestive system of Japanese quail. Journal of Experimental Biology, 206: 1887-1897.
- Svihus B (2011). The gizzard: function, influence of diet stricture and effect on nutrient availability. World Poultry Science Journal, 6: 207-224.

Svihus B (2014). Function of the digestive system. Journal of Applied Poultry Research, 23: 1-9.

- Vaughan HS and Goldspink G (1979). Fiber number and fiber size in a surgically overloaded muscle. Journal of Anatomy, 129: 293-303.
- Waugh EE, Dzoma BM, Seabo D, Aganga AA, Tsopito CM, Omphite UJ, Sebolai B and Matel L (2007). Gross adaptive morphologic changes occurring in the gastrointestinal tract components of Ostriches fed ration including or excluding grit in Botswana. International Journal of Poultry Science, 6: 217-275.